Context	Domain-level feedback	Demo	Conclusion
000	0	0	0

Domain-Level Debugging for Compiled DSLs with the GEMOC Studio (Tool Demo)

Erwan Bousse Tanja Mayerhofer Manuel Wimmer

TU Wien (Austria)

September 17, 2017

Context	Domain-level feedback	Demo	Conclusion
000	0	0	0



2 Domain-level feedback

3 Demo



Domain-level feedbac

Demo 0

(Domain-level) interactive debugging

- Many existing approaches to define Domain Specific Languages (DSLs) with execution semantics.
- Enable the use of interactive debugging (ie. breakpoints, step into, etc.) to understand and investigate defects.
- Two main kinds of DSLs to consider:
 - interpreted DSLs (with operational semantics)
 - compiled DSLs (with translational semantics)



Erwan Bousse, Tanja Mayerhofer, Manuel Wimmer Domain-I

Domain-level feedbac

Demo 0

(Domain-level) interactive debugging

- Many existing approaches to define Domain Specific Languages (DSLs) with execution semantics.
- Enable the use of interactive debugging (ie. breakpoints, step into, etc.) to understand and investigate defects.
- Two main kinds of DSLs to consider:
 - interpreted DSLs (with operational semantics)
 - compiled DSLs (with translational semantics)



Demo

Interpreted VS compiled DSLs



Runtime services Provides services at runtime by observing occuring *steps* and the changing *state*

Erwan Bousse, Tanja Mayerhofer, Manuel Wimmer

Demo

Interpreted VS compiled DSLs



Runtime services Provides services at runtime by observing occuring *steps* and the changing *state*

Erwan Bousse, Tanja Mayerhofer, Manuel Wimmer

Domain-level feedba

Demo

Conclusion O

Interpreted VS compiled DSLs



Runtime services Provides services at runtime by observing occuring *steps* and the changing *stat*

Erwan Bousse, Tanja Mayerhofer, Manuel Wimmer

Domain-level feedbac

Dem

Conclusion O

Interpreted VS compiled DSLs



Runtime services Provides services at runtime by observing occuring *steps* and the changing *st*

Erwan Bousse, Tanja Mayerhofer, Manuel Wimmer

Domain-level feedba

Demo

Conclusion O

Interpreted VS compiled DSLs



Runtime services

Domain-level feedbac

Dem

Conclusion O

Interpreted VS compiled DSLs



Runtime services

Demo O

Interpreted VS compiled DSLs



Runtime services

Domain-level feedbac

Demo O

Interpreted VS compiled DSLs



Runtime services

Domain-level feedbac

Demo O

Interpreted VS compiled DSLs



Runtime services

Context	Domain-level feedback	Demo	Conclusion
00●	O	o	O
Problem			

How to provide domain-level interactive debugging when executing models conforming to compiled DLSs?

Idea

At runtime, automatically translate on-the-fly *target* states and steps into *source* states and steps, which can then be observed by domain-level tools.

Context	Domain-level feedback	Demo	Conclusion
DOO	O	O	O
Problem			

How to provide domain-level interactive debugging when executing models conforming to compiled DLSs?

Idea

At runtime, automatically translate on-the-fly *target* states and steps into *source* states and steps, which can then be observed by domain-level tools.

Domain-level feedback

Demo O

Overview



Result

The same runtime services can be (re)used for both interpreted and compiled DSLs

Erwan Bousse, Tanja Mayerhofer, Manuel Wimmer

Domain-level feedback

Demo O

Overview



Result

The same runtime services can be (re)used for both interpreted and compiled DSLs

Erwan Bousse, Tanja Mayerhofer, Manuel Wimmer

Domain-level feedback

Demo O

Overview



Result

The same runtime services can be (re)used for both interpreted and compiled DSLs

Erwan Bousse, Tanja Mayerhofer, Manuel Wimmer

Domain-level feedback

Demo O

Overview



Result

The same runtime services can be (re)used for both interpreted and compiled DSLs

Erwan Bousse, Tanja Mayerhofer, Manuel Wimmer

Domain-level feedback

Demo O

Overview



Result

The same runtime services can be (re)used for both interpreted and compiled DSLs

Erwan Bousse, Tanja Mayerhofer, Manuel Wimmer

Domain-level feedback

Demo O

Overview



Result

The same runtime services can be (re)used for both interpreted and compiled DSLs!

Erwan Bousse, Tanja Mayerhofer, Manuel Wimmer

Context	Domain-level feedback	Demo	Conclusion
000	O	•	O
Demo			

Demo. . .

Context	Domain-level feedback	Demo	Conclusion
000	O	o	•
Conclusion			

- Providing interactive debugging for compiled DSLs is not trivial
- Idea: a *feedback manager* to translate target steps back to the source domain
- **Evaluation:** median execution slowdown of 1,8 times due to feedback
- **Future work:** manage non GEMOC-ready compilers (eg. *code generators*)
- Eclipse Research Consortium GEMOC: sustains the GEMOC studio as a research platform to experiment on the globalization of, possibly executable and heterogeneous, modeling languages
- Contributors are welcome!





http://gemoc.org/

https://github.com/eclipse/gemoc-studio-modeldebugging

Context	Domain-level feedback	Demo	Conclusion
000	O	o	•
Conclusion			

- Providing interactive debugging for compiled DSLs is not trivial
- Idea: a feedback manager to translate target steps back to the source domain
- **Evaluation:** median execution slowdown of 1,8 times due to feedback
- **Future work:** manage non GEMOC-ready compilers (eg. *code generators*)
- Eclipse Research Consortium GEMOC: sustains the GEMOC studio as a research platform to experiment on the globalization of, possibly executable and heterogeneous, modeling languages
- Contributors are welcome!





http://gemoc.org/

https://github.com/eclipse/gemoc-studio-modeldebugging